Bates College

Speeches

Edmund S. Muskie Papers

3-25-1971

## Floor Statement on S. 1382 - A Bill Authorizing Funding for Transportation Research and Development

Edmund S. Muskie

Follow this and additional works at: https://scarab.bates.edu/msp

## **Recommended Citation**

Muskie, Edmund S., "Floor Statement on S. 1382 - A Bill Authorizing Funding for Transportation Research and Development" (1971). *Speeches*. 39. https://scarab.bates.edu/msp/39

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Edmund S. Muskie Papers at SCARAB. It has been accepted for inclusion in Speeches by an authorized administrator of SCARAB. For more information, please contact batesscarab@bates.edu.



## Songressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 92d CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

## WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, MARCH 25, 1971

By Mr. MUSKIE (for himself, Mr.

By Mr. MUSKIE (for himsel, Mr. HUMPHERY, and Mr. HART): S. 1382. A bill to authorize the Sec-retary of Transportation to carry out a special program of transportation re-search and development utilizing the unique experience and manpower of the airframe and defense industries, and for other purposes. Referred to the Com-

for other purposes. Referred to the Com-mittee on Commerce. Mr. MUSKIE. Mr. President, I am in-troducing a bill today to channel some of the funds that were originally al-located to the SST into areas of our transportation system that desperately need money. My bill will bring the par-tially unused talents and the experience of the airframe and defense contractors to bear on the problems of transporta-tion that face the great bulk of Amer-icans. ican

This bill suthorizes \$100 million to be spent on research and development in aviation safety, into aviation systems serving areas of concentrated popula-tion, and into urban mass transit systems.

tems. The bill provides priority to contrac-tors applying for these grants which have had a contract with the Federal Gov-ernment canceled within 12 months of application, or which reduced their labor force by 10 percent since January I, 1969, or which are located in areas with unemployment 50 percent above the na-tional average for 3 consecutive months within the last year. This bill answers the priority question we faced on the SST vote by channeling Federal investment into areas of great-est transportation need.

est transportation need. This bill utilizes the talents and expe

Anis oill utilizes the talents and expe-rience of the airframe and defense con-tractors who can best meet these trans-portation needs. And this bill fulfills the obligation of the Federal Government to those now working on the SST.

working on the SST. It is not difficult to find areas in our transportation system that urgently and desperately need Government invest-ment. We need funds for the further development of aircraft noise and air pollution abatement technology. We need research to make our airports and airways safe. The administration itaelf testified that we must invest over \$14 billion in air safety in this decade. Also, we need terminal facilities to handle the new jumbo jets so that time gained in the air is not lost on the ground. And looking to the future in aviation, we need to develop STOL and VTOL air-

we need to develop STOL and VTOL air-craft for short flights in our urban corridors to save our major sirports from overwhelming traffic and continuing delays. And finally, high-speed ground transportation, such as air cushion vehicles or monorails, are required to bring alroots within the reach of our cities.

Just as important as revitalizing our airways is the problem of urban mass transit. Our cities are being choked to death because of outmoded or nonexisttransportation problems of noise of air pollution, and of wasted space and time that must be met. Yet the administration has consistently opposed full funding of

the Urban Mass Transit Act, while it relentlessly pushes the SST. Today, there is a backlog of \$2.2 billion in requests for urban mass transit funds; yet the De-partment of Transportation has frozen partment of Transportation has frozen \$200 million of the \$600 million appro-priated. We could spend the total 10-year Federal investment in the SST on urban mass transit and fill only about half of the pending requests for mass transit funding now before the Depart-ment of Transportation. This policy of underinvestment in city transportation will delay for years even

transportation will delay for years, even a decade, decent transportation that the

a decade, decent transportation that the average American needs every day. The administration's refusal to move on mass transit funding also threatens our health. If the clean air standards of the air pollution bill passed by Congress last year are to be met, up to 75 percent of car traffic in our 60 largest citles will have to be replaced by some form of mass transit. At present funding, that antipollution legislation will be destroyed. The corporate structures that have the

The corporate structures that have the st technical and industrial potential to

best technical and industrial potential to solve these aviation and mass transit problems are the airframe and defense corporations—the very jobs and capital that were used for the SST. Many contend that the Boeing and General Electric technical and produc-tion capabilities cannot be readily adapted to the design and construction of urban transportation systems. But the striking characteristic of the industry points to the opposite conclusion. The Department of Transportation it-self is now finding that aerospace tal-ents are directly and almost immediately transferable to other areas requiring high technical expertise and systems analysis capability. In fact, it was DOT's forceful argument of this point that per-mitted the Department to take over the Cambridge Research Center from the Cambridge Research Center from the National Aeronautics and Space Admin-istration. The center and its employees, once working on sophisticated space technology, are now working on solu-tions to our urban transportation dilemmaa

dilemmas. The Department also has current con-tracts with research firms such as Rand, the Institute for Defense Anal-ysis, and Mitre. These firms had little experience in nonmilitary work until de-fense and space budget retrenchments forced them to apply their talents in other fields.

forced them to apply their talents in other fields. Other aerospace and defense firms have found it possible to change as our priorities have changed. The North American Rockwell Corp. has a DOT contract to develop a high-speed urban tracked system. The Rohr Corp. is build-ing cars for the Bay Area Rapid Transit in San Francisco and an air cushion vehicle for France. The Garrett Re-search Corp. is developing a linear in-duction motor and United Aircraft built both the vehicles and the propulsion sys-tem for the Boston-New York Turbo-train. train.

The e acrospace concerns have found that dependency on scrospace con-tracts—such as the SST—is neither the way of the future in transportation, nor the key to their corporate survival.

My bill matches the talents and ex-perience of the SST and defense contractors with our pressing transportation needs. Priority for use of the authorized \$100 million of research and development will go to firms that have been adversely will go to nims that have been adversely affected by Government policy. Cancel-lation of a Government contract, unusu-ally high unemployment, or substantial layoffs will give defense and airframe contractors, who would be otherwise eligible, a first crack to land one of these contracts.

No. 43

By changing our priorities to meet our transportation needs in urban mass transit and aviation, we know we can be assured of one very important side effect: we will be providing many thou-sands more jobs during this decade and the decades that follow for our transpor-tation workers. These is no doubt that tation workers. There is no doubt that a deral commitment to meet these full P essential transportation needs of cities and towns across the Nation will create much more employment over the long range than our SST effort would ever have entailed.

In addition, the job-producing effects of these new transportation facilities is likely to be massive. We know that many of our small towns and cities have had their economic development substan-tially slowed due to nonexistent or inade-quate airport facilities. We know that man-hour losses due to lateness in our great urban centers are substantial and continually getting worse due to inade-quate and overloaded mass transit faclittles. And we know there are increas-ing numbers of people who join the ever-growing welfare rolls because they can-not find or cannot afford transportation to their jobs.

So let us put the 8,000 SST employees, and some of the tens of thousands of defense and airframe workers, back on the job—and on a job that needs to be don

Mr. HART. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Maine for raising the points he does. I am sure that others of us will want to join him in this sugges-